#### M<sup>C</sup>LAREN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

Address: Shop 7, 720 Old Princes Highway Sutherland NSW 2232 Postal: P.O Box 66 Sutherland NSW 1499

> Telephone: +61 2 8355 2440 Fax: +61 2 9521 7199 Web: www.mclarentraffic.com.au Email: admin@mclarentraffic.com.au

Division of RAMTRANS Australia ABN: 45067491678 RPEQ: 19457

Transport Planning, Traffic Impact Assessments, Road Safety Audits, Expert Witness

18th February 2021

Reference: 200318.01FA

Aliro Group Level 53 Governor Phillip Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Attention: David Lousick

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TRAFFIC INFORMATION REGARDING THE PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT AT 13 ENDEAVOUR ROAD, CARINGBAH

Dear David,

This letter is in response to comments made by Sutherland Shire Council, provided within a letter dated  $22^{nd}$  October 2020 in relation to the proposed Planning Proposal at 13 Endeavour Road, Caringbah. The comments made by Council relevant to traffic and parking are shown below (*italicised*) with *M*<sup>c</sup>Laren Traffic Engineering's (**MTE**) response thereafter.

An estimate of the maximum traffic generation created by the current permissible users on the site compared with the traffic generation created by the permissible uses requested in the Planning Proposal. This should be done in the context of the concept masterplan. The key consideration is whether the additional permitted uses have a greater or lesser traffic impact? What impact does the allocation of permissible floor space to retail, pub function centre and tourist accommodation have on traffic generation and parking demand.

**MTE Response:** To address the above, the advice will be provided based upon the submitted Masterplan Traffic Report dated  $17^{\text{th}}$  of September 2020 prepared  $M^{c}Laren$  Traffic Engineering (Reference: 200318.01FA), referred to as MTE Report hereafter. As such, this letter should be read in conjunction with the MTE Report.

To address the above consideration, redistribution of the proposed masterplan scale to permissible uses will be assessed and compared with the current masterplan scale to determine:

- Whether the additional permitted uses have a greater or lesser traffic impact;
- Traffic generation comparison of permitted uses against the proposed masterplan development;



• Parking demand comparison of permitted uses against the proposed masterplan development.

The permitted land uses on-site are summarised below:

- Warehouse & distribution centres;
- High tech industry;
- Food and beverage (restaurants and cafes);
- Office premises;
- Recreation facility (indoor).

The proposed additional land uses based upon the planning proposal are summarised below:

- Retail, Shops 4,307m<sup>2</sup>;
- Tourist and visitor accommodation (Hotel) 3,624m<sup>2</sup>;
- Pubs 2,770m<sup>2</sup> GFA;
- Function Centre Part of the Pub with an indicative patron capacity of 100;

To undertake the comparison assessment between permitted uses and non-permitted uses with respect to traffic generation and parking the following will be adopted for simplicity:

- Non-permissible <u>Shops</u> will be assessed under an office rate within the permitted land use scheme;
- Non-permissible <u>Hotel</u> (Tourist and Visitor) will be assessed under an office rate within the permitted land use scheme;
- Non-permissible <u>Pub</u> & Function Centre will be assessed under a restaurant rate within the permitted land use scheme;

The above modifications with consideration to the submitted planning proposal results in the following scale for permissible and non-permissible uses as outlined within **Table 1** and **Table 2** respectively.



Land Use	Masterplan Scale
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup> GFA
Ancillary Industrial Office	7,382m <sup>2</sup> GFA
Warehouse	1,824m² GFA
Ancillary Warehouse Office	3,846m <sup>2</sup> GFA
Commercial Office & Business Premises	107,217m <sup>2</sup> GFA
Food and Beverage <sup>(1)</sup>	3,561m² GFA
Recreation	860m <sup>2</sup>

#### TABLE 1: PROPOSED PERMISSIBLE MASTERPLAN SCALE

Note: 1 – No change to food and beverage scale as the pub was assessed under a food and beverage rate within the planning proposal

#### TABLE 2: PROPOSED NON-PERMISSIBLE MASTERPLAN SCALE

Land Use	Masterplan Scale			
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Ancillary Industrial Office	7,382m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Warehouse	1,824m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Ancillary Warehouse Office	3,846m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Commercial Office & Business Premises	99,286m² GFA			
Retail	4,307m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Food and Beverage	791m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Pub	2,770m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Recreation	860m <sup>2</sup>			
Listel	3,624m <sup>2</sup> GFA			
Hotel	125 rooms & 20 staff			
Function Room	100 patrons included within the Pub footprint			

The comparison assessment between the above permissible and non-permissible uses are outlined in the following sections.



#### 1 Parking Requirements Comparison

#### **1.1** Permissible Masterplan Scale Car Parking Requirements

Reference is made to *Sutherland Shire Council's Development Control Plan 2015 - Chapter 27 – B7 Business Park* which outlines the applicable car parking rates for the proposed permissible scale of the development.

#### Chapter 27 – Section 9 – Parking

#### Industrial Premises

1 space per 100m<sup>2</sup>, with a minimum of 2 spaces for each industrial unit.

Any ancillary office component to an industrial development shall provide 1 space per 30m<sup>2</sup> of gross floor area.

#### Office and Business Premises

1 space per 45m<sup>2</sup> GFA

#### **Retail Premises**

1 space per 45m<sup>2</sup> GFA

#### Warehouse or distributions centres

1 space per 300m<sup>2</sup>

It should be noted that to provide a conservative assessment, the ancillary office component for warehouse land uses will be assessed as industrial ancillary office space (i.e. 1 space per 30m<sup>2</sup> GFA). Further, the recreation portion of the site will be considered ancillary to the other uses, such that it does not generate its own parking demand. The parking requirements for the site based upon permissible uses is summarised in **Table 3** below.

It is reiterated that the non-permissible uses within the masterplan have been assessed as office and retail components within the permissible masterplan scheme.

The car parking rates outlined within Council's DCP are deemed appropriate for the subject site, considering the lack of available alternative transport modes, specifically rail facilities. Typically, office car parking rates within close proximity to train facilities attempt to reduce vehicular traffic on the road network and as such allow for a reduced car parking rate between 1 space per 60m<sup>2</sup> to 1 space per 80m<sup>2</sup>. The subject site does not benefit from close proximity to heavy or light rail high occupancy transport mode facilities and is largely isolated, as such the Council car parking rates are deemed an acceptable representation of actual car parking demand of the site.



Land Use	Scale	Rate	Parking Required
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup> GFA	252.7
Industrial Ancillary Office	7,382m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 30m <sup>2</sup> GFA	246
Warehouse	1,824m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 300m <sup>2</sup> GFA	6
Warehouse Ancillary Office	3,846m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 30m <sup>2</sup> GFA	128.2
Commercial Office	107,217m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 45m <sup>2</sup> GFA	2,382.6
Food and Beverage	3,561m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 45m <sup>2</sup> GFA	79.2
Recreational <sup>(1)</sup>	860m <sup>2</sup>	N/A	-
Total	-	-	3095

#### **TABLE 3: PERMISSIBLE DCP CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS - MASTERPLAN**

Note: 1 - Recreational uses are assumed to not generate their own car parking demand and are considered ancillary to the other land uses.

As shown above the site is expected to provide **3,095** car parking spaces to comply with Council's car parking requirements for the permissible Masterplan Scale. A reduction in car parking provision may be supported with consideration to shared parking arrangements and an assessment of the temporal variations in peak parking demand of the various uses of the site.

Considering the above land uses, there are no significant land uses with different peak parking demand periods, such that a lower number of car parking spaces could be justified. The only discount that could be supported is the reduction in food and beverage car parking spaces, adopting the assumption that the provision of retail and food and beverage facilities serves the office / industrial components of the site and could be considered ancillary, with the exception to staff car parking demand for the food and beverage land uses.

Adopting a 50% reduction in the car parking requirements for the food and beverage land uses results in a reduction of **40** spaces, reducing to a car parking requirement of **3,055**.

#### **1.2** Non-Permissible Masterplan Scale Car Parking Requirements

Reference is made to *Sutherland Shire Council's Development Control Plan 2015 Chapter 36 – Vehicular Access, Traffic, Parking and Bicycles* which outlines the applicable car parking rates for the additional Non-Permissible car parking requirements for the development.

#### Chapter 36 – Table 1 – Car Parking Numbers

#### Hotel or Motel accommodation

1 space per 4 rooms; plus,

1 space per 2 employees

#### Pubs / Registered Clubs

Refer to the RTA Guidelines for Traffic Generating Developments

#### Function Centre

Traffic Study



As shown above, there are no parking rates within Council's DCP that relate to Function Centre uses or Pub / Brewery land uses, but Council's DCP refers to the *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002* for pub land uses and requires a traffic study to be undertaken for Function Centres.

The reliance upon the *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002* relates to pubs that were surveyed in 1978, which was before RBT times and as such the data relating to pubs within the *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002* is outdated and not applicable to the subject site, considering its site context. Considering this, MTE has worked on numerous pub developments (seven), which have expanded (not new pubs) there existing premises, with detailed survey data and linear regression analysis undertaken on these pubs to determine car parking provision for the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile demand. The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile parking demand is an appropriate design demand for pubs, due to seasonal fluctuations. Typical demand above the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile will be used as the baseline to determine typical parking provision for pub developments. Based upon MTE's assessment the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile parking demand for pubs is 6.5 spaces per 100m<sup>2</sup> GFA.

Note the above rate adopted for pubs does not include car parking demand associated with function centres, but the floor space associated with the function centre will be assessed under the pub rate as the floor area associated with the Function Centre is unknown. Typically Functions Centres demand between 1 space per 3 patrons and 1 space per 4 patrons in attendance (plus parking demand for any additional staff).

The parking requirements for the site based upon the non-permissible uses are summarised in **Table 4**.

Land Use	Scale	Rate	Parking Required
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup> GFA	252.7
Industrial Ancillary Office	7,382m² GFA	1 per 30m <sup>2</sup> GFA	246
Warehouse	1,824m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 300m <sup>2</sup> GFA	6
Warehouse Ancillary Office	3,846m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 30m <sup>2</sup> GFA	128.2
Commercial Office	99,286m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 45m <sup>2</sup> GFA	2206.4
Retail	4,307m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 45m <sup>2</sup> GFA	95.7
Food and Beverage	791m <sup>2</sup> GFA	1 per 45m <sup>2</sup> GFA	17.5
Pub	2,770m <sup>2</sup> GFA	6.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup> GFA	180 <sup>(3)</sup>
Hatal	125 rooms	1 per 4 rooms	31.3
Hotel	20 staff <sup>(1)</sup>	1 per 2 staff	10
Recreational <sup>(4)</sup>	860m <sup>2</sup>	N/A	-
Total	-	-	3174

TABLE 4: NON-PERMISSIBLE DCP CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS - MASTERPLAN

Note: 1 - Staff numbers are estimated / assumed and will be required to be determined in detail during the DA stage.

2 - Adopted patron capacity within the Hotel portion of the site.

3 - Includes parking demand associated with function centre.

4 - Recreational uses are assumed to not generate their own car parking demand and are considered ancillary to the other land uses.



As shown above the site is expected to provide **3,174** car parking spaces to comply with Council's car parking requirements for the permissible Masterplan Scale. A reduction in car parking provision may be supported with consideration to shared parking arrangements and an assessment of the temporal variations in peak parking demand of the various uses of the site.

Considering the above land uses, the only reduction in parking that could be supported is the reduction in retail, pub, and food and beverage car parking spaces, consistently adopting the assumption that the provision of retail, pub and food and beverage facilities serves the office / industrial components of the site and could be considered ancillary, with the exception to staff car parking demand for the retail, pub and food and beverage land uses.

Adopting a 50% reduction in the car parking requirements for the retail, pub and food and beverage land uses results in a reduction of **147** spaces, reducing to a car parking requirement of **3,027**. This peak parking demand would be required during weekday periods and Friday afternoon when considering peak demand periods. During weekend periods the site as a whole would require significantly less parking due to the office and industrial component of the site unlikely to be operating at full capacity. On the weekend the demand for the pub would be the full 180 car parking spaces, but would be capable of relying upon parking spaces associated with other land uses of the site that do not operate on weekends, such as the office and industrial premises.

Based upon the above and **Section 1.1**, **Table 5** below summarises the car parking requirements of the permissible and non-permissible users under a standalone assessment and with consideration to ancillary and shared car parking arrangements for the site.

Land Use	DCP Car parking Demand / MTE Pub Rate	DCP Car parking Demand / MTE Pub Rate When Considering Ancillary Uses and Shared Parking Demand
Permissible Uses	3,095	3,055
Non- Permissible Uses	3,174	3,027

# TABLE 5: SUMMARY COMPARISON OF PARKING DEMAND FOR PERMISSIBLE USESNON-PERMISSIBLE USES

As shown above, when assessing each development as a standalone development the Permissible scale requires the provision of **3,095** car parking spaces, whilst the non-permissible uses require the provision of **3,174** car parking spaces. When considering the ancillary and shared car parking demand of the site the peak parking demand of the permissible users is **3,055** car parking spaces, whilst the non-permissible uses are **3,027**.

Based upon the above, the non-permissible uses would result in a lesser car parking requirement for the subject site when considering shared parking demand arrangements and ancillary uses.



#### 2 Traffic Generation Comparison

#### 2.1 Permissible Masterplan Scale Traffic Generation

Traffic generation rates for the relevant land uses are provided in the *Roads and Maritime Services* (*RMS*) *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments* (2002) and recent supplements and are as follows:

#### RMS Guide

#### 3.4.3 Hotels - tourist.

NSW based data is not available.

#### 3.4.1 Motels.

Evening peak hour vehicle trips = 0.4 per unit.

#### 3.5 Office and commercial.

Evening peak hour vehicle trips = 2 per  $100m^2$  gross floor area

#### 3.6.1 Shopping centres.

V(P) = 56 A(SS) vehicle trips per  $1000m^2$  GLFA

Where: A(SS): Specialty shops, secondary retail GLFA

#### 3.7.2 Restaurants.

Evening peak hour vehicle trips = 5 per  $100m^2$  gross floor area.

#### 3.10.1 Factories

Evening peak hour vehicle trips = 1 per  $100m^2$  gross floor area

#### 3.10.2 Warehouses

Morning peak hour vehicle trips = 0.5 per  $100m^2$  gross floor area

#### <u>TDT 2013/04a</u>

#### Office blocks

Morning peak hour vehicle trips =  $1.6 \text{ per } 100m^2 \text{ gross floor area.}$ 

Evening peak hour vehicle trips =  $1.2 \text{ per } 100m^2 \text{ gross floor area.}$ 

As noted in **Section 1.2** the *RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments* is outdated with respect to pub parking and traffic generation data. As such, the adopted traffic generation rates for pubs will be based upon a comparison of the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile parking demand (6.5 spaces per 100m<sup>2</sup>) to the RMS parking of 26.4 spaces per 100m<sup>2</sup> and the RMS traffic generation rate of 10 vehicles/hr/ 100m<sup>2</sup> reduced accordingly. It should be noted that the RMS traffic generation rate indicates 10 vehicles, and does not state trips, hence MTE adopts the RMS pub rate as 20 vehicle <u>trips</u> / hr / 100m<sup>2</sup>. Relying upon the above results in a peak hour traffic generation of 5 vehicle trips per 100m<sup>2</sup> for standalone pubs, which will be reduced by a further 50% to account for ancillary considerations during the PM peak hour period.



The assumptions within the MTE Report will be retained in regards to traffic generation rates for the subject site which are reproduced below, with additional assumptions provided for consideration to the pub operation:

- As no tourist hotel rate is provided in the RMS Guide, the motel rate has been applied as a worst case. A tourist hotel is likely to generate less traffic and is to be designed for tourist coaches and taxi areas within any Porte Cochere.
- The AM and PM rate for hotel uses is assumed to be equivalent;
- The RMS office rate has been applied as the proposed site has limited access to public transport services;
- The RMS office rate has been applied to the factory and warehouse ancillary office areas;
- Half of the office rate has been adopted for the retail portion of the site to consider a lower density of staff and ancillary use of the development;
- The AM and PM rate for factory uses is assumed to be equivalent;
- The AM and PM rate for warehouse uses is assumed to be equivalent;
- The pub does not operate during the AM peak commuter period;
- The function centre traffic generation is contained within the pub traffic generation rate;
- The recreational use is considered ancillary to the development and does not generate additional vehicle traffic.

It is further noted that the research and surveys behind the RMS traffic generation rates for business parks are predominantly warehouse and factory use with ancillary office areas and not primarily office space as per the proposed masterplan scale. As such, the business park rates have not been applied to the proposed development, as they are deemed to not be applicable.

The above assumptions in addition to the applicable RMS Guide traffic generation rates have been applied to the permissible and non-permissible Masterplan scale with expected traffic generation shown in **Table 6** and **7** below respectively and a summary comparison in **Table 8**.



Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out
muusinai	GFA	PM		203 (7	51 in, 202 out
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{ m}^2$	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	118 in, 30 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	140 \	30 in, 118 out
Marahauaa	1,824m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0.5 per $100$ m <sup>2</sup>	9 <sup>(1)</sup>	7 in, 2 out
Warehouse	GFA	PM	0.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	9 ( )	2 in, 7 out
Warehouse	3,846m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ max} 100 \text{m}^2$	77 (1)	62 in, 15 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	11 (1)	15 in, 62 out
Commercial	107,217m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ max} 100 \text{m}^2$	<b>0444</b> (1)	1,715 in, 429 out
Office	ĠFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	2144 <sup>(1)</sup>	429 in, 1,715 out
Food and	3,561m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	36 <sup>(1)</sup>	29 in, 7 out
Beverage	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	30 (1)	7 in, 29 out
Recreational	860m <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	-	-
		АМ		2,667	2,133 in, 534 out
TOTAL	-	РМ	-	2,667	534 in, 2,133 out

TABLE 6: ESTIMATED PERMISSIBLE TRAFFIC GENERATION - MASTERPLAN

Note: (1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak. (2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period



	-	-			
Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split
	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out
Industrial	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	203 (1)	51 in, 202 out
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0 m o m 4 00 mo <sup>2</sup>	4 4 0 (1)	118 in, 30 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	30 in, 118 out
	1,824m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0.5 m or 400 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>9</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	7 in, 2 out
Warehouse	GFA	PM	0.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	9 (1)	2 in, 7 out
Warehouse	3,846m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0 100 2	<b></b> (1)	62 in, 15 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	77 (1)	15 in, 62 out
Commercial	99,286m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0 100 2	1986 <sup>(1)</sup>	1589 in, 397 out
Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>		397 in, 1589 out
Deteil	4,307m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	40 (1)	35 in, 8 out
Retail	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	43 <sup>(1)</sup>	8 in, 35 out
	2,770m <sup>2</sup>	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pub	GFA	PM	2.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	69 <sup>(2)</sup>	35 in, 34 out
Food and	7042 054	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	<b>O</b> (1)	6 in, 2 out
Beverage	791m <sup>2</sup> GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	8 (1)	2 in, 6 out
	405	AM	2.4	<b>50</b> (2)	25 in, 25 out
Hotel	125 rooms	PM	0.4 per room	50 <sup>(2)</sup>	25 in, 25 out
Recreational	860m <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	-	-
TOTAL		АМ		2,574	2,044 in, 530 out
TOTAL	-	РМ	-	2,643	565 in, 2,078 out

TABLE 7: ESTIMATED NON-PERMISSIBLE TRAFFIC GENERATION - MASTERPLAN

Note:(1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak.(2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period

## TABLE 8: SUMMARY COMPARISON OF PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC GENERATION FOR PERMISSIBLE USES VS NON-PERMISSIBLE USES

Land Use	Peak Period	Peak Hour Traffic Generation Rates	Directional Split
Permissible Uses	AM	2.667	2,133 in, 534 out
	PM	2,007	534 in, 2,133 out
Non-Permissible	AM	2,574	2,044 in, 530 out
Uses	PM	2,643	565 in, 2,078 out

As shown above, the permissible scale of the masterplan development is expected to generate a peak hour traffic generation of 2,667 vehicle trips during the AM (2,133 in, 534 out) and PM (534 in, 2,133 out) peak hour periods. The non-permissible scale of the masterplan is expected to generate 2,574 vehicle trips during the AM (2,044 in, 530) out peak hour period and 2,643 vehicle trips during the PM (565 in, 2078 out) peak hour periods.



Based upon the above, the non-permissible uses would result in a lesser traffic impact compared to the permissible uses due to the lower peak hour traffic generation during both the AM and PM peak hour periods.

#### 3 <u>Timing of Infrastructure Works</u>

Council comments in relation to the timing of road infrastructure works is shown below, with a response provided thereafter.

The concept masterplan indicates that signalisation of 3 intersections is ultimately required (Scenario 4). As the development will be staged, what is the tipping points(s) for signalisation of these intersections? Does the reuse of the existing buildings require signalisation of one or more intersections? What assurance can be given to Council that signalisation will be carried out as development occurs?

**MTE Response:** An assessment has been undertaken based upon the staging of the proposed development. The detailed assessment relating to timing of the development is provided in the following subsection, with a summary provided below:

- Prior to Any Stage:
  - The intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive is required to be temporarily upgraded prior to any occupation due to the existing failing intersection under the existing traffic conditions plus the Sharks Stage 3 & 4 development.
- Prior to Stage 3:
  - The intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road is to be upgraded to the masterplan geometry prior to occupation of Stage 3.
- Prior to Stage 5:
  - The intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive, plus the newly proposed intersection at Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive are to be constructed prior to occupation of Stage 5.

As part of any future development on the site, the traffic impacts as part of the proposed development will have to be acceptable and as such consultation with both Council and TfNSW would have to be completed either during the Planning Proposal process or during the development application stage to ensure that signalisation of intersections will occur. It should be noted that for the development traffic impacts to be acceptable, road infrastructure works are required and without these infrastructure works the impact of the proposed development would be unacceptable and as such the development would not be capable of being approved.

The existing site has an estimated traffic generation of 558 vehicle trips based upon the Masterplan Traffic Report dated 17<sup>th</sup> of September 2020 prepared *M<sup>C</sup>Laren Traffic Engineering*. The redevelopment of the site should not be fully responsible for all road infrastructure upgrades. The subject site should be responsible for any road infrastructure works, above the existing occupied scale traffic generation. As such, the recommended interim upgrade to the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive should not be the responsibility of the site considering it is failing in existing conditions.

Further, as part of the Sharks redevelopment the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive was never assessed, with the Sharks development pushing the operation of Endeavour Road



/ Captain Cook Drive well above its operating capacity when considering the existing approved operation of the subject site.

#### 3.1 Assessment of Infrastructure Works

Based upon the modelling undertaken to date the following are relevant to note:

- The intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive is nearing its operating capacity during existing conditions, specifically the following:
  - Right turn movements from Captain Cook Drive into Endeavour Road during the AM peak hour period, with the observed existing operation already overflowing outside the length of the right turn lane (95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue of 88m based upon modelling results);
  - Right turn movements from Endeavour Road onto Captain Cook Drive during the PM peak hour period is operating at Level of Service "F", with a degree of saturation of 0.85 indicating this movement is approaching its operating capacity.
- The intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive when modelled under the existing traffic conditions plus the approved Sharks Stage 3 & 4 development is at capacity or exceeding its operating capacity with the following relevant to note:
  - Right turn movements from Captain Cook Drive into Endeavour Road during the AM peak hour period is at capacity, with a Level of Service D for the right turn movement. The operation of this right turn movement will significantly impact through vehicle traffic along Captain Cook Drive due to the resultant 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue of 154m;
  - Right turn movements from Endeavour Road onto Captain Cook Drive during the PM peak hour period is exceeding its operating capacity with an degree of saturation exceeding 1 and also an average delay of 180 seconds for vehicles to turn out of Endeavour Road.

Based upon the above results, regardless of future development on the subject site it is clear the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive will be exceeding its operating capacity when considering the full Sharks development. If no infrastructure upgrade is completed (or turning movements banned) at the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive the following is likely to occur:

- During the AM peak hour period, the right turn lane into Endeavour Road from Captain Cook Drive will overflow, resulting upon impacts to through traffic and affect the traffic flow efficiency of Captain Cook Drive;
- During the PM peak hour period, right turns onto Captain Cook Drive from Endeavour Road will be difficult, resulting in the likelihood of displaced vehicle trips to other intersection to turn right onto Captain Cook Drive, such as Cawarra Road or vehicles will accept a reduced gap acceptance, thereby increasing road safety concerns for right turning traffic out of Endeavour Road. Alternatively, some vehicles may choose to turn left onto Captain Cook Drive from Endeavour Road and use the roundabout to undertake a U-turn to head westbound along Captain Cook Drive.

Based upon the above, assuming that the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive will not be allowed to further deteriorate as a result of the subject development, the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive would have to be upgraded prior to occupation of any



buildings. It should be noted that any occupation of buildings will increase the following movements at the intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive:

- Increases to the right turn movement out of Endeavour Road during the PM peak hour period.
- Increases to through traffic (westbound and eastbound) during both the AM and PM peak hour period, reducing the number of gaps within the traffic stream.

The intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive would have to be upgraded to a signalised intersection, with a interim design, that is the intersection would still have to maintain right turns into and out of Endeavour Road under a signalised intersection arrangement. The likely interim design for a signalised intersection is shown below in **Figure 1**:



#### FIGURE 1: CAPTAIN COOK DRIVE / ENDEAVOUR ROAD INTERIM SIGNALISED INTERSECTION PRIOR TO ANY OCCUPATION

Based upon the above, the SIDRA model for the operation of the interim signalised intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive is shown in **Table 9** below, with detailed SIDRA outputs provided in **Annexure A** for reference.



#### TABLE 9: EXISTING INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)(5)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement	
	EX	STING PERFO	RMANCE + SHA	RKS STAGE	3 & 4		
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.95	15.8 (Worst: 47.3)	<b>N/A</b> (Worst: D)	Give Way	RT from Captain Cook Drive (S)	
/ Endeavour Road	РМ	1.01	19.2 (Worst: >70)	<b>N/A</b> (Worst: F)	(Seagull)	RT from Endeavour Road (E)	
	EXISTING PERFORMANCE + SHARKS STAGE 3 & 4						
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.75	20	В	Signalised	N/A	
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.55	14.3	В	Gigitalised	N/A	

NOTES:

(1) Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(2) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(4) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

(5) Average delay of seagull intersection (Captain Cook Drive / Éndeavour Road) is based upon Stage 1 only.

If the intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road is temporarily upgraded to the above intersection, this will assist in delaying the upgrade to the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive, by displacing right turning traffic onto Captain Cook Drive from Gannons Road to Endeavour Road. Considering this, an assessment of the development under the following stages will be assessed to determine the timing for the next road infrastructure upgrade:

- Stage 1 Building L1;
- Stage 2 Building D, E1, J1, J2;
- Stage 3 Building H1, H2, H3;
- Stage 4 Building F1, G1;
- Stage 5 Building C1, K1, K2;
- Stage 6 A1, B1.

The scale of each building of the development is shown in **Annexure B** for reference. It should be noted that based upon existing intersection traffic modelling completed to date within the MTE Report, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive was capable of operating under the existing approved scale plus Stage 3 and 4 of the Sharks development, as such Stage 1 will not be modelled.

The estimated traffic generation of Stage 1 and 2 is shown in **Table 10** below.



Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out
muustnai	GFA	PM	i per room	200 (7	51 in, 202 out
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{ m}^2$	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	118 in, 30 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	140 \	30 in, 118 out
Warehouse	3,246m <sup>2</sup>	AM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	65 <sup>(1)</sup>	54 in, 11 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per room-	00 ( )	11 in, 54 out
Commercial	15,394m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	308 <sup>(1)</sup>	248 in, 60 out
Office	GFA	PM	PM 2 per 100m <sup>2</sup> 308 <sup>(1</sup>		60 in, 248 out
Pub	2,770m <sup>2</sup>	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pub	GFA	PM	2.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	69 <sup>(2)</sup>	35 in, 34 out
TOTAL		АМ		774	622 in, 152 out
TOTAL	-	РМ	-	843	187 in, 656 out

TABLE 10: STAGE 1 & 2 ESTIMATED TRAFFIC GENERATION - MASTERPLAN

Note:(1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak.(2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period

Based upon the above traffic generation and the trip distribution as shown in **Annexure C** for reference, which has been modified to account for the more attractive route via Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive, the SIDRA results are summarised in **Table 11** below, with detailed SIDRA results provided in **Annexure D** for reference.



#### TABLE 11: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement			
	EXISTING PERFORMANCE + SHARKS STAGE 3 & 4								
Gannons Road /	AM	0.74	8.8 (Worst: 18.7)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook			
Captain Cook Drive	РМ	0.75	9.4 (Worst: 19.2)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	Drive (E)			
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.75	20	В	Signalised	N/A			
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.68	17.2	В		N/A			
EXISTING	PERFO	RMANCE + SH	ARKS STAGE 3	& 4 + STAGE	1, & 2 Develo	oment			
Gannons Road /	AM	0.83	11.3 (Worst: 22.6)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook Drive (E)			
Captain Cook Drive	PM	0.85	18 (Worst: 49.7)	B (Worst: D)		RT from Site Access (N)			
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.81	22	В	Signalised	N/A			
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.7	19.1	В		N/A			

NOTES:

(1) Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(2) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(4) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

As shown above, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive is expected to operate at LoS "A" in the AM and LoS "B" in the PM peak hour period. Whilst the interim signalised intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road is expected to operate at LoS "B". This indicates acceptable delays and spare capacity for both intersections. Hence, no further infrastructure upgrade is required prior to the completion of Stage 1 & 2.



The estimated traffic generation of Stage 1, 2 and 3 is shown in **Table 12** below.

Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split			
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out			
industrial	GFA	PM		203 (*)	51 in, 202 out			
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ max} 100 \text{m}^2$	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	118 in, 30 out			
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	148 (1)	30 in, 118 out			
Warehouse	3,246m <sup>2</sup>	AM	0 m o m 1 00 mo <sup>2</sup>	OF (1)	54 in, 11 out			
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	65 <sup>(1)</sup>	11 in, 54 out			
Commercial	24,135m <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> AM 2 a set 100 m <sup>2</sup>	483 <sup>(1)</sup>	387 in, 96 out				
Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	483 \	96 in, 387 out			
Detail	2,311m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	23 <sup>(1)</sup>	18 in, 5 out			
Retail	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	23 (1)	5 in, 18 out			
Dut	2,770m <sup>2</sup>	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Pub	GFA	PM	2.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	69 <sup>(2)</sup>	35 in, 34 out			
Hatal	105 rooms	AM	0.4 per recm	FO (2)	25 in, 25 out			
Hotel	125 rooms	PM	0.4 per room	50 <sup>(2)</sup>	25 in, 25 out			
ΤΟΤΑΙ		АМ		1,022	804 in, 218 out			
TOTAL	-	PM	-	1,091	253 in, 838 out			

#### TABLE 12: STAGE 1, 2 & 3 ESTIMATED TRAFFIC GENERATION – MASTERPLAN

Note: (1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak. (2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period

Based upon the above traffic generation and the trip distribution as shown in **Annexure C** for reference, due to the upgraded intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive, the SIDRA results are reproduced in **Table 13** below, with detailed SIDRA results provided in **Annexure D** for reference.



#### TABLE 13: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement
	EX	ISTING PERFO	RMANCE + SHA	RKS STAGE	3 & 4	
Gannons Road /	AM	0.74	8.8 (Worst: 18.7)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook
Captain Cook Drive	DM	0.75	9.4	Α		Drive (E)
	PM	0.75	(Worst: 19.2)	(Worst: B)		
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.75	20	В	Signalised	N/A
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.68	17.2	В		N/A
EXISTING F	PERFOR	MANCE + SHA	RKS STAGE 3 8	4 + STAGE	1, 2 & 3 Develo	pment
	0.54	0.00	12.6	Α		U-Turn from
Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.86	(Worst: 24.6)	(Worst: B)	Roundabout	Captain Cook Drive (E)
Captain Cook Drive	PM	1.093	39.8	С		RT from Site
	PIVI	1.093	(Worst: 245)	(Worst: F)		Access (N)
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.83	22.8	В	Signalised	N/A
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.77	20	В		N/A

NOTES:

(1) Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(2) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(4) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

As shown above, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive has a degree of saturation exceeding 1 during the PM peak hour period, indicating that the intersection is operating over capacity and as such requires an intersection upgrade. This is indicated by an excessive average delay for right turning vehicles from the site access of 245 seconds. Hence based upon the above, the roundabout of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive would need to be upgraded prior to the occupation of Stage 3.

The interim signalised intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road is expected to operate at LoS "B". This indicates acceptable delays.



The intersection modelling under the proposed masterplan intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road is shown in Table 14 below, with the detailed SIDRA outputs provided in Annexure D for reference, to ensure Stage 1, 2 & 3 of the proposal has acceptable traffic impacts.

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement							
EXISTING PERFORMANCE + SHARKS STAGE 3 & 4													
AM 0.74 8.8 A (Worst: 18.7) (Worst: B)													
Gannons Road /			(Worst: 18.7)	(Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook							
Captain Cook Drive	PM	0.75	9.4	Α		Drive (E)							
	1 101	0.70	(Worst: 19.2)	(Worst: B)									
EXISTING F	PERFOR	MANCE + SHA	RKS STAGE 3 &	4 + STAGE 1	l, 2 & 3 Develo	opment							
Gannons Road /	AM	0.77	28.7	С	Signals	N/A							
Captain Cook Drive	PM	0.77	30.1	С		N/A							
NOTES: (1) Degree of Saturation	, is the rat	io of domand to can	acity for the most disa		ment	1							

#### **TABLE 14: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)**

Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(1) (2) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(4) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

As shown above, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive is expected to operate at a Level of Service "C" in both the AM and PM peak hour periods. This indicates that Stage 1, 2 and 3 of the proposed development can be accommodated by a interim signalised intersection at Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive and the proposed upgrade to Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive.

The estimated traffic generation of Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 is shown in **Table 15** below.



Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$1 \text{ por } 100 \text{ m}^2$	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out
muusinai	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	203 (*)	51 in, 202 out
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	118 in, 30 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	140 \	30 in, 118 out
Warehouse	3,246m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	65 <sup>(1)</sup>	54 in, 11 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	CO (1)	11 in, 54 out
Commercial	47,858m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	957 <sup>(1)</sup>	766 in, 191 out
Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	957 (**	191 in, 766 out
Deteil	4,313m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	43 <sup>(1)</sup>	35 in, 8 out
Retail	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	43 (7	8 in, 35 out
Pub	2,770m <sup>2</sup>	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pub	GFA	PM	2.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	69 <sup>(2)</sup>	35 in, 34 out
Listal	105 10 0 000	AM	0.4 5 55 55 55	<b>EO</b> (2)	25 in, 25 out
Hotel	125 rooms	PM	0.4 per room	50 <sup>(2)</sup>	25 in, 25 out
ΤΟΤΑΙ		АМ		1,516	1,200 in, 316 out
TOTAL	-	РМ	-	1,585	351 in, 1,234 out

#### TABLE 15: STAGE 1, 2, 3 & 4 ESTIMATED TRAFFIC GENERATION - MASTERPLAN

Note:(1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak.(2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period

Based upon the above traffic generation and the trip distribution as shown in **Annexure C** for reference, due to the upgraded intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive, the SIDRA results are reproduced in **Table 16** below, with detailed SIDRA results provided in **Annexure D** for reference.



#### TABLE 16: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement							
EXISTING PERFORMANCE + SHARKS STAGE 3 & 4													
Gannons Road /	AM	0.74	8.8 (Worst: 18.7)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook							
Captain Cook Drive		0.75	9.4	Α		Drive (E)							
	PM	0.75	(Worst: 19.2)	(Worst: B)									
Captain Cook Drive	AM 0.75 20 B		В	Signalised	N/A								
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.68	17.2	В		N/A							
EXISTING PE	ERFORI	MANCE + SHAF	RKS STAGE 3 &	4 + STAGE 1,	2, 3 & 4 Deve	lopment							
Gannons Road /	AM	0.79	30.4	С	Signalised	N/A							
Captain Cook Drive	PM	0.90	39	С		N/A							
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.86	24.5	В	Signalised	N/A							
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.84	25.4	В		N/A							

NOTES:

(1) Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(2) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(3) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(4) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

As shown above, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive is operating at Level of Service C indicating acceptable delays. Whilst the intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road is expected to operate at Level of Service "B" indicating acceptable delays. This indicates hat Stage 1, 2, 3 and 4 are acceptable with the upgraded Gannons Road / Captain Cook Dive and Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive signalised Intersections.

Considering the degree of saturation for both assessed intersection is approaching 1, it is expected that additional development will not be possible unless the masterplan road infrastructure as recommended within the MTE Report is provided.

The estimated traffic generation of Stage 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 is shown in **Table 17** below.



Use	Scale	Peak Period	Generation Rate	Trips	Split
Industrial	25,272m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	253 <sup>(1)</sup>	202 in, 51 out
muusinai	GFA	PM		203 (*)	51 in, 202 out
Industrial	7,382m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{ m}^2$	148 <sup>(1)</sup>	118 in, 30 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	140 \''	30 in, 118 out
Warehouse	3,246m <sup>2</sup>	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	65 <sup>(1)</sup>	54 in, 11 out
Ancillary Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	CO (''	11 in, 54 out
Commercial	75,554m²	AM	$2 \text{ por } 100 \text{m}^2$	1,511 <sup>(1)</sup>	1,209 in, 302 out
Office	GFA	PM	2 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	1,511 0	302 in, 1,209 out
Dotoil	5,104m <sup>2</sup>	AM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	51 <sup>(1)</sup>	41 in, 10 out
Retail	GFA	PM	1 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	51\`'	10 in, 41 out
Dub	2,770m <sup>2</sup>	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pub	GFA	PM	2.5 per 100m <sup>2</sup>	69 <sup>(2)</sup>	35 in, 34 out
Listal	105 10 0 000	AM	0.4 5 55 55 55	50 <sup>(2)</sup>	25 in, 25 out
Hotel	125 rooms	PM	0.4 per room	5U \-/	25 in, 25 out
τοται		АМ		2,078	1,649 in, 429 out
TOTAL	-	РМ	-	2,147	464 in, 1,683 out

#### TABLE 17: STAGE 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 ESTIMATED TRAFFIC GENERATION - MASTERPLAN

Note: (1) Assumes 80% inbound, 20% outbound during AM peak: Vice versa for PM peak.

(2) Assumes 50% inbound, 50% outbound during AM peak and PM peak hour period

(3) for Simplicity the remaining food and beverage land sues have been assessed as a retail rate as the traffic generation rates are the same

Based upon the above traffic generation and the trip distribution as shown in **Annexure C** for reference, due to the upgraded intersection of Endeavour Road / Captain Cook Drive, the SIDRA results are reproduced in **Table 18** below, with detailed SIDRA results provided in **Annexure D** for reference.



#### TABLE 18: INTERSECTION PERFORMANCES (SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0)

Intersection	Peak Hour	Degree of Saturation <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Delay <sup>(2)</sup> (sec/vehicle)	Level of Service <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	Control Type	Worst Movement								
	EXISTING PERFORMANCE + SHARKS STAGE 3 & 4													
Gannons Road /	AM	0.74	8.8 (Worst: 18.7)	A (Worst: B)	Roundabout	U-Turn from Captain Cook								
Captain Cook Drive		0.75	9.4	Α		Drive (E)								
	PM	0.75	(Worst: 19.2)	(Worst: B)										
Captain Cook Drive	AM 0.75 20 B		Signalised	N/A										
/ Endeavour Road	РМ	0.68	17.2	В		N/A								
EXISTING PEI	RFORM	ANCE + SHARI	KS STAGE 3 & 4	+ STAGE 1, 2	2, 3, 4 & 5 Dev	elopment								
Gannons Road /	AM	0.81	31.3	С	Signalised	N/A								
Captain Cook Drive	PM	1.01	70.7	F		N/A								
Captain Cook Drive	AM	0.87	27.3	В	Signalised	N/A								
/ Endeavour Road	PM	0.93	36.3	С		N/A								

NOTES:

(5) Degree of Saturation is the ratio of demand to capacity for the most disadvantaged movement.

(6) Average delay is the delay experienced on average by all vehicles. The value in brackets represents the delay to the most disadvantaged movement.

(7) Level of Service is a qualitative measure of performance describing operational conditions. There are six levels of service, designated from A to F, with A representing the best operational condition and level of service F the worst. The LoS of the intersection is shown in bold, and the LoS of the most disadvantaged movement is shown in brackets.

(8) Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LoS are not applicable (N/A) for two-way sign control since the average delays is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements

As shown above, the intersection of Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive is operating at Level of Service "C" during the AM peak hour period and LoS "F" during the PM peak hour period, indicating that a infrastructure upgrade is required, or an alternative more attractive route is required. The intersection of Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road is operating at LoS "B" during the AM peak hour period and LoS "C" during the PM peak hour period. Further the right turn movement from Endeavour Road onto Captain Cook Drive is operating at LoS "F" indicating that this movement is at its operating capacity and a further shift of right turn movement from the Gannons Road / Captain Cook Drive would likely result in intersection failure.

Considering the above, prior to occupation of Stage 5, the proposed masterplan road infrastructure would be required to be built.



Please contact the undersigned on 8355 2440 should you require further information or assistance.

Yours faithfully,

M<sup>c</sup>Laren Traffic Engineering

MMICON

Matthew M<sup>c</sup>Carthy Senior Traffic Engineer BE Civil Engineering Masters of Engineering Science RMS Accredited Level 1 Road Safety Auditor RMS Accredited Work Zone Traffic Management Plan Designer and Inspector

#### ANNEXURE A: SIDRA RESULTS

(Sheet 1 of 2)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks - Conversion]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average		
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed		
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h		
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	/e (S)											
2	T1	1537	4.9	0.536	6.2	LOS A	17.9	130.7	0.44	0.41	0.44	54.4		
3	R2	518	3.3	0.644	33.7	LOS C	19.1	137.5	0.84	0.98	1.19	38.0		
Approa	ach	2055	4.5	0.644	13.2	LOS A	19.1	137.5	0.54	0.55	0.63	49.1		
East: E	Indeavo	our Road (E	E)											
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5		
6	R2	33	21.2	0.144	55.4	LOS D	1.7	14.3	0.91	0.73	0.91	30.8		
Approa	ach	224	14.3	0.144	13.1	LOS A	1.7	14.3	0.13	0.55	0.13	49.0		
North:	Captair	n Cook Driv	'e (N)											
7	L2	189	5.3	0.202	10.8	LOS A	2.7	20.0	0.45	0.68	0.45	50.3		
8	T1	881	11.9	0.749	39.7	LOS C	23.4	180.2	0.96	0.85	0.97	36.3		
Approa	ach	1070	10.7	0.749	34.6	LOS C	23.4	180.2	0.87	0.82	0.88	38.2		
All Veh	nicles	3349	7.1	0.749	20.0	LOS B	23.4	180.2	0.62	0.64	0.68	45.0		

#### ANNEXURE A: SIDRA RESULTS

(Sheet 2 of 2)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks interim upgrade]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	T	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average	
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed	
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h	
South:	Captair	Cook Drive	e (S)										
2	T1	1147	1.6	0.405	6.4	LOS A	12.4	88.3	0.41	0.37	0.41	54.3	
3	R2	208	1.4	0.472	38.4	LOS C	10.4	73.7	0.91	0.90	1.05	36.3	
Approa	ach	1355	1.5	0.472	11.3	LOS A	12.4	88.3	0.49	0.45	0.51	50.4	
East: E	Endeavo	ur Road (E)	)										
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8	
6	R2	204	2.9	0.673	57.7	LOS E	11.6	83.0	0.99	0.84	1.02	30.4	
Approa	ach	841	1.5	0.673	18.3	LOS B	11.6	83.0	0.24	0.60	0.25	46.0	
North:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (N)										
7	L2	91	7.7	0.090	12.4	LOS A	1.7	12.8	0.42	0.65	0.42	49.1	
8	T1	1353	1.0	0.676	22.9	LOS B	29.0	205.1	0.80	0.73	0.80	43.6	
Approa	ach	1444	1.5	0.676	22.2	LOS B	29.0	205.1	0.78	0.72	0.78	43.9	
All Veh	nicles	3640	1.5	0.676	17.2	LOS B	29.0	205.1	0.55	0.59	0.56	46.6	



ANNEXURE B: MASTERPLAN SCALE SPLIT BETWEEN BUILDINGS

(Sheet 1 of 1)





#### ANNEXURE C: MASTERPLAN SCALE SPLIT BETWEEN BUILDINGS

## (Sheet 1 of 2) Outbound





#### ANNEXURE C: MASTERPLAN SCALE SPLIT BETWEEN BUILDINGS

## (Sheet 2 of 2)

#### Inbound





(Sheet 1 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1 [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future AM + Sharks + Stage 1 & 2]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks + Stage 1 & 2 Peak 8:00AM - 9:00AM Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	<b>T</b>	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	783	1.4	0.433	2.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.34	0.00	48.8
2	T1	62	0.0	0.624	13.1	LOS A	4.7	34.3	0.95	1.10	1.24	32.7
3	R2	253	5.1	0.624	17.0	LOS B	4.7	34.3	0.95	1.10	1.24	45.6
3u	U	1	0.0	0.624	18.6	LOS B	4.7	34.3	0.95	1.10	1.24	43.2
Appro	ach	1099	2.2	0.624	6.3	LOS A	4.7	34.3	0.27	0.56	0.36	46.7
East:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (E)									
4	L2	157	3.8	0.827	15.8	LOS B	11.9	89.8	0.98	1.16	1.50	46.6
5	T1	1186	10.5	0.827	15.7	LOS B	12.3	93.6	0.98	1.14	1.49	51.8
6	R2	62	0.0	0.827	19.7	LOS B	12.3	93.6	0.98	1.13	1.47	38.5
6u	U	25	12.0	0.827	22.6	LOS B	12.3	93.6	0.98	1.13	1.47	52.1
Appro	ach	1430	9.3	0.827	16.0	LOS B	12.3	93.6	0.98	1.14	1.49	50.4
North:	Toyota	Access (N)	)									
7	L2	19	0.0	0.051	7.0	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.78	0.75	0.78	38.0
8	T1	28	0.0	0.145	4.8	LOS A	0.8	5.8	0.82	0.84	0.82	35.4
9	R2	55	1.8	0.145	7.6	LOS A	0.8	5.8	0.82	0.84	0.82	38.2
9u	U	1	0.0	0.145	8.3	LOS A	0.8	5.8	0.82	0.84	0.82	30.7
Appro	ach	103	1.0	0.145	6.7	LOS A	0.8	5.8	0.82	0.83	0.82	37.2
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (W)									
10	L2	193	0.0	0.626	9.0	LOS A	6.2	46.4	0.81	0.84	0.93	38.8
11	T1	688	13.1	0.626	9.2	LOS A	6.4	48.8	0.81	0.83	0.91	57.7
12	R2	413	9.2	0.626	13.6	LOS A	6.4	48.8	0.80	0.82	0.88	51.0
12u	U	7	57.1	0.626	17.6	LOS B	6.4	48.8	0.80	0.82	0.88	46.0
Appro	ach	1301	10.1	0.626	10.6	LOS A	6.4	48.8	0.80	0.83	0.90	51.8
All Ve	hicles	3933	7.4	0.827	11.3	LOS A	12.3	93.6	0.72	0.86	0.96	49.3



(Sheet 2 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1 [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future PM + Sharks + Stage 1 & 2]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks Stage + Stage 1 & 2 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Ganno	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	456	1.3	0.252	2.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.34	0.00	48.8
2	T1	21	4.8	0.346	8.0	LOS A	2.1	14.9	0.84	0.93	0.85	34.2
3	R2	199	1.0	0.346	11.5	LOS A	2.1	14.9	0.84	0.93	0.85	49.2
3u	U	1	100.0	0.346	17.8	LOS B	2.1	14.9	0.84	0.93	0.85	45.2
Appro	ach	677	1.5	0.346	5.2	LOS A	2.1	14.9	0.27	0.53	0.28	48.3
East: (	Captain	Cook Drive	e (E)									
4	L2	84	3.6	0.853	33.5	LOS C	13.2	94.1	1.00	1.39	2.19	38.2
5	T1	850	2.0	0.853	32.5	LOS C	14.5	103.3	1.00	1.40	2.19	43.1
6	R2	19	0.0	0.853	36.4	LOS C	14.5	103.3	1.00	1.40	2.19	33.0
6u	U	29	0.0	0.853	38.8	LOS C	14.5	103.3	1.00	1.40	2.19	44.2
Appro	ach	982	2.0	0.853	32.9	LOS C	14.5	103.3	1.00	1.40	2.19	42.4
North:	Toyota	Access (N	)									
7	L2	66	0.0	0.263	12.6	LOS A	1.4	10.0	0.92	0.92	0.92	35.9
8	T1	74	0.0	0.846	46.2	LOS D	9.5	66.4	1.00	1.76	2.31	25.5
9	R2	216	0.0	0.846	48.9	LOS D	9.5	66.4	1.00	1.76	2.31	27.0
9u	U	1	0.0	0.846	49.7	LOS D	9.5	66.4	1.00	1.76	2.31	22.9
Appro	ach	357	0.0	0.846	41.6	LOS C	9.5	66.4	0.99	1.61	2.05	27.9
West:	Captain	Cook Driv	e (W)									
10	L2	56	0.0	0.797	9.7	LOS A	12.0	85.0	0.89	0.81	1.05	38.7
11	T1	1330	1.1	0.797	9.5	LOS A	12.0	85.0	0.87	0.79	1.01	58.0
12	R2	630	1.1	0.797	13.7	LOS A	11.9	83.9	0.84	0.76	0.95	51.0
12u	U	17	0.0	0.797	16.0	LOS B	11.9	83.9	0.84	0.76	0.95	57.9
Appro	ach	2033	1.1	0.797	10.9	LOS A	12.0	85.0	0.86	0.78	0.99	54.9
All Vel	hicles	4049	1.3	0.853	18.0	LOS B	14.5	103.3	0.81	0.96	1.26	46.5



(Sheet 3 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1 [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future AM + Sharks + Stage 1, 2 & 3]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 8:00AM - 9:00AM Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Move	ment P	erformand	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back		Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	783	1.4	0.433	2.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.34	0.00	48.8
2	T1	80	0.0	0.684	15.3	LOS B	5.5	40.0	0.98	1.14	1.35	32.1
3	R2	253	5.1	0.684	19.1	LOS B	5.5	40.0	0.98	1.14	1.35	44.5
3u	U	1	0.0	0.684	20.7	LOS B	5.5	40.0	0.98	1.14	1.35	42.2
Appro	ach	1117	2.1	0.684	7.1	LOS A	5.5	40.0	0.29	0.58	0.40	46.0
East:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (E)									
4	L2	157	3.8	0.855	17.9	LOS B	13.6	102.3	1.00	1.22	1.66	45.4
5	T1	1186	10.5	0.855	17.8	LOS B	14.1	106.8	1.00	1.21	1.64	50.4
6	R2	80	0.0	0.855	21.6	LOS B	14.1	106.8	1.00	1.19	1.62	37.7
6u	U	25	12.0	0.855	24.6	LOS B	14.1	106.8	1.00	1.19	1.62	50.6
Appro	ach	1448	9.2	0.855	18.1	LOS B	14.1	106.8	1.00	1.21	1.64	48.9
North:	Toyota	Access (N)	)									
7	L2	26	0.0	0.072	7.3	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.80	0.79	0.80	37.9
8	T1	25	0.0	0.181	5.1	LOS A	1.1	7.4	0.85	0.88	0.85	35.2
9	R2	75	1.3	0.181	7.8	LOS A	1.1	7.4	0.85	0.88	0.85	38.0
9u	U	1	0.0	0.181	8.6	LOS A	1.1	7.4	0.85	0.88	0.85	30.5
Appro	ach	127	0.8	0.181	7.2	LOS A	1.1	7.4	0.84	0.86	0.84	37.3
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (W)									
10	L2	240	0.0	0.669	10.1	LOS A	7.2	54.1	0.86	0.90	1.04	38.5
11	T1	688	13.1	0.669	10.3	LOS A	7.5	57.9	0.86	0.89	1.02	57.0
12	R2	413	9.2	0.669	14.6	LOS B	7.5	57.9	0.85	0.87	1.00	50.5
12u	U	7	57.1	0.669	18.7	LOS B	7.5	57.9	0.85	0.87	1.00	45.6
Appro	ach	1348	9.8	0.669	11.6	LOS A	7.5	57.9	0.86	0.89	1.02	50.7
All Ve	hicles	4040	7.2	0.855	12.6	LOS A	14.1	106.8	0.75	0.92	1.07	48.1



(Sheet 4 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1 [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future PM + Sharks + Stage 1, 2 & 3]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks Stage + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	<b>T</b>	Demand	I Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	456	1.3	0.252	2.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.34	0.00	48.8
2	T1	27	3.7	0.366	8.4	LOS A	2.3	16.0	0.85	0.95	0.89	34.1
3	R2	199	1.0	0.366	11.9	LOS A	2.3	16.0	0.85	0.95	0.89	49.0
3u	U	1	100.0	0.366	18.4	LOS B	2.3	16.0	0.85	0.95	0.89	45.0
Appro	ach	683	1.5	0.366	5.4	LOS A	2.3	16.0	0.28	0.54	0.30	48.0
East:	Captain	Cook Driv	e (E)									
4	L2	84	3.6	0.914	50.5	LOS D	18.3	130.8	1.00	1.63	2.95	32.6
5	T1	850	2.0	0.914	49.1	LOS D	20.5	146.0	1.00	1.65	2.96	36.1
6	R2	25	0.0	0.914	52.7	LOS D	20.5	146.0	1.00	1.66	2.97	28.8
6u	U	29	0.0	0.914	55.1	LOS D	20.5	146.0	1.00	1.66	2.97	37.0
Appro	ach	988	2.0	0.914	49.5	LOS D	20.5	146.0	1.00	1.65	2.96	35.6
North:	Toyota	Access (N	I)									
7	L2	85	0.0	0.351	15.2	LOS B	2.0	14.1	0.94	0.99	1.04	35.0
8	T1	92	0.0	1.093	242.1	LOS F	54.3	379.8	1.00	5.56	8.85	11.0
9	R2	269	0.0	1.093	244.8	LOS F	54.3	379.8	1.00	5.56	8.85	11.2
9u	U	1	0.0	1.093	245.5	LOS F	54.3	379.8	1.00	5.56	8.85	10.5
Appro	ach	447	0.0	1.093	200.5	LOS F	54.3	379.8	0.99	4.69	7.36	12.8
West:	Captain	Cook Driv	/e (W)									
10	L2	76	0.0	0.814	10.4	LOS A	13.0	92.0	0.92	0.84	1.11	38.6
11	T1	1330	1.1	0.814	10.2	LOS A	13.0	92.0	0.90	0.82	1.08	57.8
12	R2	630	1.1	0.814	14.2	LOS A	12.9	91.1	0.87	0.79	1.01	50.7
12u	U	17	0.0	0.814	16.6	LOS B	12.9	91.1	0.87	0.79	1.01	57.6
Appro	ach	2053	1.1	0.814	11.5	LOS A	13.0	92.0	0.89	0.81	1.06	54.4
All Vel	nicles	4171	1.2	1.093	39.8	LOS C	54.3	379.8	0.83	1.38	2.06	36.3



(Sheet 5 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future AM + Sharks + Refinement of Lane Lengths Stage 1, 2 & 3]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 8:00AM - 9:00AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement P	erforman	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South: Gannons Rd (S)												
1	L2	783	1.4	0.433	4.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	80	0.0	0.170	43.0	LOS D	3.7	26.1	0.84	0.72	0.84	26.3
3	R2	253	5.1	0.770	55.3	LOS D	14.9	109.0	0.99	0.91	1.10	30.4
Appro	ach	1116	2.2	0.770	18.7	LOS B	14.9	109.0	0.29	0.59	0.31	40.2
East: Captain Cook Drive (E)												
4	L2	157	3.8	0.181	26.7	LOS B	5.3	38.6	0.63	0.75	0.63	40.6
5	T1	1186	10.5	0.752	27.3	LOS B	29.2	222.5	0.85	0.76	0.85	46.1
6	R2	80	0.0	0.260	54.9	LOS D	4.2	29.2	0.92	0.76	0.92	26.3
Appro	ach	1423	9.1	0.752	28.7	LOS C	29.2	222.5	0.83	0.76	0.83	43.6
North: Toyota Access (N)												
7	L2	26	0.0	0.104	39.0	LOS C	2.3	16.0	0.80	0.65	0.80	28.5
8	T1	25	0.0	0.104	37.0	LOS C	2.3	16.0	0.80	0.65	0.80	27.2
9	R2	75	1.3	0.257	44.8	LOS D	3.7	26.5	0.88	0.72	0.88	27.2
Appro	ach	126	0.8	0.257	42.1	LOS C	3.7	26.5	0.85	0.69	0.85	27.5
West: Captain Cook Drive (W)												
10	L2	240	0.0	0.517	27.0	LOS B	17.4	128.6	0.75	0.75	0.82	34.1
11	T1	688	13.1	0.517	23.1	LOS B	18.6	144.7	0.76	0.70	0.78	47.9
12	R2	413	9.2	0.764	61.2	LOS E	13.2	99.7	1.00	0.86	1.07	29.8
Approach		1341	9.5	0.764	35.5	LOS C	18.6	144.7	0.83	0.76	0.88	38.0
All Ve	hicles	4006	7.1	0.770	28.7	LOS C	29.2	222.5	0.68	0.71	0.70	40.0



(Sheet 6 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future PM + Sharks + Refinement of Lane Lengths + Stage 1, 2 & 3]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment P	erformance	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand F		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	Ganno	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	456	1.3	0.252	4.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	27	3.7	0.055	40.0	LOS C	1.2	8.6	0.79	0.66	0.79	26.9
3	R2	199	1.0	0.768	59.3	LOS E	12.0	84.8	1.00	0.92	1.14	29.7
Appro	ach	682	1.3	0.768	21.8	LOS B	12.0	84.8	0.32	0.61	0.36	39.5
East: Captain Cook Drive (E)												
4	L2	84	3.6	0.148	39.2	LOS C	3.6	25.8	0.77	0.75	0.77	35.7
5	T1	850	2.0	0.738	40.6	LOS C	23.6	168.1	0.95	0.83	0.96	39.5
6	R2	25	0.0	0.140	62.0	LOS E	1.4	9.7	0.95	0.71	0.95	25.0
Appro	ach	959	2.1	0.738	41.0	LOS C	23.6	168.1	0.93	0.82	0.94	38.6
North:	Toyota	Access (N)										
7	L2	85	0.0	0.340	36.4	LOS C	6.8	47.5	0.85	0.82	1.11	29.1
8	T1	92	0.0	0.340	34.4	LOS C	6.8	47.5	0.85	0.82	1.11	27.8
9	R2	269	0.0	0.756	50.3	LOS D	15.5	108.7	0.99	0.92	1.07	26.2
Appro	ach	446	0.0	0.756	44.4	LOS D	15.5	108.7	0.93	0.88	1.09	27.1
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	(W)									
10	L2	76	0.0	0.747	21.7	LOS B	21.4	151.2	0.88	0.79	0.88	36.8
11	T1	1330	1.1	0.747	15.5	LOS B	22.0	155.6	0.88	0.79	0.88	53.8
12	R2	630	1.1	0.600	44.5	LOS D	17.4	123.1	0.89	0.83	0.89	34.5
Approach		2036	1.1	0.747	24.7	LOS B	22.0	155.6	0.88	0.80	0.88	45.2
All Vel	nicles	4123	1.2	0.768	30.1	LOS C	23.6	168.1	0.81	0.78	0.83	39.8


(Sheet 7 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future AM + Sharks + Refinement of Lane Lengths Stage 1, 2,3 & 4]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 Peak 8:00AM - 9:00AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannor	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	783	1.4	0.433	4.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	120	0.0	0.238	42.1	LOS C	5.6	39.1	0.84	0.74	0.84	26.5
3	R2	253	5.1	0.773	55.1	LOS D	15.0	109.3	0.99	0.92	1.11	30.4
Appro	ach	1156	2.1	0.773	19.4	LOS B	15.0	109.3	0.30	0.59	0.33	39.5
East:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (E)									
4	L2	157	3.8	0.188	28.0	LOS B	5.5	39.8	0.64	0.75	0.64	40.1
5	T1	1186	10.5	0.790	30.4	LOS C	30.3	231.5	0.87	0.80	0.90	44.4
6	R2	120	0.0	0.390	56.1	LOS D	6.4	44.9	0.94	0.79	0.94	26.1
Appro	ach	1463	8.9	0.790	32.2	LOS C	30.3	231.5	0.85	0.79	0.87	41.5
North:	Toyota	Access (N	)									
7	L2	36	0.0	0.156	38.0	LOS C	3.6	25.3	0.80	0.66	0.80	28.8
8	T1	45	0.0	0.156	36.0	LOS C	3.6	25.3	0.80	0.66	0.80	27.5
9	R2	104	1.0	0.365	46.1	LOS D	5.3	37.7	0.90	0.75	0.90	27.0
Appro	ach	185	0.5	0.365	42.1	LOS C	5.3	37.7	0.86	0.71	0.86	27.4
West:	Captain	Cook Driv	e (W)									
10	L2	366	0.0	0.597	26.6	LOS B	20.0	145.3	0.79	0.81	0.87	33.8
11	T1	688	13.1	0.597	25.2	LOS B	22.2	172.5	0.81	0.75	0.83	46.6
12	R2	413	9.2	0.764	61.2	LOS E	13.2	99.7	1.00	0.86	1.07	29.8
Appro	ach	1467	8.7	0.764	35.7	LOS C	22.2	172.5	0.86	0.79	0.91	37.2
All Ve	hicles	4271	6.6	0.790	30.4	LOS C	30.3	231.5	0.71	0.74	0.74	38.6



(Sheet 8 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future PM + Sharks + Refinement of Lanes + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road

Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 121 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment P	erformance	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	456	1.3	0.252	4.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	37	2.7	0.052	30.6	LOS C	1.4	10.1	0.69	0.64	0.69	28.8
3	R2	199	1.0	0.598	45.8	LOS D	10.4	73.3	0.92	0.82	0.92	33.3
Appro	ach	692	1.3	0.598	17.7	LOS B	10.4	73.3	0.30	0.58	0.30	41.2
East:	Captain	Cook Drive	(E)									
4	L2	84	3.6	0.153	40.6	LOS C	3.7	26.4	0.78	0.75	0.78	35.2
5	T1	850	2.0	0.767	43.2	LOS D	24.6	175.4	0.96	0.86	1.00	38.4
6	R2	35	0.0	0.363	70.4	LOS E	2.1	14.9	1.00	0.72	1.00	23.7
Appro	ach	969	2.1	0.767	44.0	LOS D	24.6	175.4	0.95	0.85	0.98	37.3
North:	Toyota	Access (N)										
7	L2	123	0.0	0.351	31.2	LOS C	9.3	65.2	0.77	0.80	1.07	30.4
8	T1	132	0.0	0.351	29.3	LOS C	9.3	65.2	0.77	0.80	1.07	28.9
9	R2	389	0.0	0.894	58.9	LOS E	26.3	183.8	0.96	1.11	1.27	24.7
Appro	ach	644	0.0	0.894	47.6	LOS D	26.3	183.8	0.89	0.99	1.19	26.4
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	(W)									
10	L2	105	0.0	0.881	37.8	LOS C	34.5	243.5	0.99	0.97	1.11	31.6
11	T1	1330	1.1	0.881	31.5	LOS C	34.8	246.2	0.99	0.97	1.12	43.6
12	R2	630	1.1	0.872	61.8	LOS E	22.6	160.1	0.99	0.91	1.14	29.7
Appro	ach	2065	1.1	0.881	41.0	LOS C	34.8	246.2	0.99	0.95	1.12	37.5
All Vel	hicles	4370	1.2	0.894	39.0	LOS C	34.8	246.2	0.86	0.87	0.97	35.8



(Sheet 9 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future AM + Sharks + Stage 1, 2,3,4 & 5]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road

Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 Peak 8:00AM - 9:00AM

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	783	1.4	0.433	4.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	165	0.0	0.316	42.2	LOS C	7.8	54.5	0.85	0.76	0.85	26.5
3	R2	253	5.1	0.791	56.5	LOS D	15.3	111.5	1.00	0.94	1.14	30.1
Appro	ach	1201	2.0	0.791	20.6	LOS B	15.3	111.5	0.33	0.61	0.36	38.7
East:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (E)									
4	L2	157	3.8	0.188	28.0	LOS B	5.5	39.8	0.64	0.75	0.64	40.1
5	T1	1186	10.5	0.800	31.0	LOS C	30.3	230.7	0.87	0.81	0.91	44.0
6	R2	165	0.0	0.566	58.6	LOS E	9.2	64.2	0.98	0.81	0.98	25.6
Appro	ach	1508	8.6	0.800	33.7	LOS C	30.3	230.7	0.86	0.80	0.89	40.5
North	: Toyota	Access (N)	)									
7	L2	49	0.0	0.196	37.6	LOS C	4.7	32.8	0.81	0.67	0.81	28.8
8	T1	56	0.0	0.196	35.7	LOS C	4.7	32.8	0.81	0.67	0.81	27.5
9	R2	138	0.7	0.524	48.8	LOS D	7.4	52.4	0.94	0.79	0.94	26.5
Appro	ach	243	0.4	0.524	43.5	LOS D	7.4	52.4	0.88	0.74	0.88	27.2
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	e (W)									
10	L2	501	0.0	0.655	24.5	LOS B	21.3	152.6	0.80	0.85	0.90	34.3
11	T1	688	13.1	0.655	26.1	LOS B	25.2	195.8	0.84	0.77	0.86	46.2
12	R2	413	9.2	0.806	63.6	LOS E	13.6	102.9	1.00	0.88	1.12	29.3
Appro	ach	1602	8.0	0.806	35.2	LOS C	25.2	195.8	0.87	0.82	0.94	36.7
All Ve	hicles	4554	6.2	0.806	31.3	LOS C	30.3	230.7	0.72	0.75	0.77	37.7



(Sheet 10 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 1v [Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road - Future PM + Sharks + Stage 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5]

Captain Cook Drive / Gannons Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) + Sharks 3 & 4 + Stage 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 146 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment P	erformanc	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	т	Demand F	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Gannoi	ns Rd (S)										
1	L2	456	1.3	0.252	4.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.47	0.00	47.8
2	T1	48	2.1	0.086	44.5	LOS D	2.5	17.6	0.77	0.68	0.77	26.0
3	R2	199	1.0	0.997	145.4	LOS F	22.6	159.5	1.00	1.37	1.92	17.5
Approa	ach	703	1.3	0.997	47.1	LOS D	22.6	159.5	0.34	0.74	0.60	31.0
East: (	Captain	Cook Drive	(E)									
4	L2	84	3.6	0.158	48.4	LOS D	4.4	32.1	0.79	0.76	0.79	32.7
5	T1	850	2.0	0.803	54.1	LOS D	30.3	215.8	0.98	0.89	1.03	34.5
6	R2	46	0.0	0.576	86.0	LOS F	3.5	24.2	1.00	0.75	1.05	21.5
Approa	ach	980	2.0	0.803	55.1	LOS D	30.3	215.8	0.96	0.87	1.01	33.4
North:	Toyota	Access (N)										
7	L2	168	0.0	0.407	33.6	LOS C	15.1	105.9	0.74	0.80	1.05	29.8
8	T1	176	0.0	0.407	31.6	LOS C	15.1	105.9	0.74	0.80	1.05	28.4
9	R2	524	0.0	1.000	127.8	LOS F	56.6	396.5	1.00	1.58	1.83	16.9
Approa	ach	868	0.0	1.000	90.1	LOS F	56.6	396.5	0.90	1.27	1.52	20.3
West:	Captain	Cook Drive	(W)									
10	L2	139	0.0	0.950	67.5	LOS E	55.4	391.1	1.00	1.09	1.28	25.2
11	T1	1330	1.1	0.950	60.9	LOS E	55.7	393.5	1.00	1.09	1.28	32.3
12	R2	630	1.1	1.012	115.7	LOS F	39.6	279.9	1.00	1.07	1.52	20.6
Approa	ach	2099	1.0	1.012	77.8	LOS F	55.7	393.5	1.00	1.09	1.35	27.2
All Veł	nicles	4650	1.1	1.012	70.7	LOS F	56.6	396.5	0.87	1.02	1.20	27.0



(Sheet 11 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks - Conversion]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Mover	nent P	erforman	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	ve (S)									
2	T1	1537	4.9	0.536	6.2	LOS A	17.9	130.7	0.44	0.41	0.44	54.4
3	R2	518	3.3	0.644	33.7	LOS C	19.1	137.5	0.84	0.98	1.19	38.2
Approa	ach	2055	4.5	0.644	13.2	LOS A	19.1	137.5	0.54	0.55	0.63	49.2
East: E	Indeavo	our Road (E	E)									
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5
6	R2	33	21.2	0.072	54.5	LOS D	0.8	7.0	0.90	0.70	0.90	31.0
Approa	ach	224	14.3	0.112	12.9	LOS A	0.8	7.0	0.13	0.55	0.13	49.1
North:	Captain	Cook Driv	/e (N)									
7	L2	189	5.3	0.202	10.8	LOS A	2.7	20.0	0.45	0.68	0.45	50.3
8	T1	881	11.9	0.749	39.7	LOS C	23.4	180.2	0.96	0.85	0.97	36.3
Approa	ach	1070	10.7	0.749	34.6	LOS C	23.4	180.2	0.87	0.82	0.88	38.2
All Veh	icles	3349	7.1	0.749	20.0	LOS B	23.4	180.2	0.62	0.64	0.68	45.0



(Sheet 12 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks - Conversion + Stage 1 & 2]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1 & 2 Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Mover	ment P	erformand	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	/e (S)									
2	T1	1583	4.7	0.552	6.3	LOS A	18.8	137.2	0.45	0.42	0.45	54.3
3	R2	518	3.3	0.711	39.0	LOS C	20.5	147.6	0.90	1.01	1.32	36.2
Approa	ach	2101	4.4	0.711	14.4	LOS A	20.5	147.6	0.56	0.56	0.66	48.3
East: E	Endeavo	our Road (E	E)									
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5
6	R2	79	8.9	0.160	55.2	LOS D	2.1	15.6	0.91	0.73	0.91	31.0
Approa	ach	270	11.9	0.160	20.2	LOS B	2.1	15.6	0.27	0.58	0.27	44.7
North:	Captain	Cook Driv	'e (N)									
7	L2	376	2.7	0.387	12.4	LOS A	7.3	52.1	0.55	0.73	0.55	49.3
8	T1	1068	9.8	0.813	40.9	LOS C	29.9	226.7	0.97	0.92	1.04	35.9
Approa	ach	1444	8.0	0.813	33.5	LOS C	29.9	226.7	0.86	0.87	0.91	38.7
All Veh	nicles	3815	6.3	0.813	22.0	LOS B	29.9	226.7	0.65	0.68	0.73	43.9



(Sheet 13 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1, 2 &3]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Mayor	mant D	~*f~***		hieles								
wover	nent P	erformand		enicies								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Tunn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	ve (S)									
2	T1	1602	4.7	0.558	6.4	LOS A	19.2	140.0	0.46	0.42	0.46	54.3
3	R2	518	3.3	0.730	40.6	LOS C	20.9	150.2	0.91	1.02	1.35	35.7
Approa	ach	2120	4.3	0.730	14.7	LOS B	20.9	150.2	0.57	0.57	0.67	48.1
East: E	Indeavo	our Road (E	E)									
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5
6	R2	99	7.1	0.198	55.5	LOS D	2.6	19.4	0.92	0.74	0.92	30.9
Approa	ach	290	11.0	0.198	22.7	LOS B	2.6	19.4	0.31	0.60	0.31	43.3
North:	Captair	n Cook Driv	e (N)									
7	L2	429	2.3	0.433	12.9	LOS A	9.0	64.6	0.58	0.74	0.58	49.0
8	T1	1121	9.4	0.832	41.9	LOS C	32.1	242.9	0.98	0.94	1.06	35.6
Approa	ach	1550	7.4	0.832	33.9	LOS C	32.1	242.9	0.87	0.88	0.93	38.5
All Veh	nicles	3960	6.0	0.832	22.8	LOS B	32.1	242.9	0.67	0.69	0.75	43.5



(Sheet 14 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 125 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Move	ment P	erformand	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	/e (S)									
2	T1	1632	4.6	0.561	6.2	LOS A	19.8	144.3	0.44	0.41	0.44	54.4
3	R2	518	3.3	0.765	45.1	LOS D	23.0	165.5	0.94	1.04	1.42	34.1
Approa	ach	2150	4.3	0.765	15.6	LOS B	23.0	165.5	0.56	0.56	0.68	47.6
East: E	Endeavo	our Road (E	E)									
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5
6	R2	128	5.5	0.263	58.8	LOS E	3.6	26.2	0.94	0.76	0.94	30.1
Approa	ach	319	10.0	0.263	27.0	LOS B	3.6	26.2	0.38	0.62	0.38	41.2
North:	Captain	Cook Driv	e (N)									
7	L2	549	1.8	0.544	14.4	LOS A	14.2	100.8	0.65	0.77	0.65	48.0
8	T1	1241	8.5	0.856	43.8	LOS D	37.9	284.8	0.99	0.96	1.08	34.9
Approa	ach	1790	6.4	0.856	34.8	LOS C	37.9	284.8	0.88	0.91	0.95	38.1
All Veh	nicles	4259	5.6	0.856	24.5	LOS B	37.9	284.8	0.68	0.71	0.77	42.6



(Sheet 15 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future AM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1, 2, 3 4 & 5]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1, 2, 3 4 & 5 Peak 7:30AM - 8:30AM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 145 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Mover	ment P	erformand	ce - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Driv	/e (S)									
2	T1	1666	4.5	0.554	5.8	LOS A	21.2	153.9	0.40	0.37	0.40	54.8
3	R2	518	3.3	0.784	53.2	LOS D	27.8	199.9	0.96	1.06	1.46	31.8
Approa	ach	2184	4.2	0.784	17.0	LOS B	27.8	199.9	0.53	0.54	0.65	46.7
East: E	Endeavo	ur Road (E	E)									
4	L2	191	13.1	0.112	5.8	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.52	0.00	54.5
6	R2	162	4.3	0.362	69.5	LOS E	5.4	38.9	0.96	0.77	0.96	27.7
Approa	ach	353	9.1	0.362	35.0	LOS C	5.4	38.9	0.44	0.64	0.44	37.8
North:	Captain	Cook Driv	e (N)									
7	L2	684	1.5	0.676	18.2	LOS B	25.0	177.0	0.78	0.83	0.78	45.8
8	T1	1376	7.6	0.866	46.1	LOS D	47.3	352.8	0.98	0.95	1.05	34.2
Approa	ach	2060	5.6	0.866	36.8	LOS C	47.3	352.8	0.92	0.91	0.96	37.3
All Veh	nicles	4597	5.2	0.866	27.3	LOS B	47.3	352.8	0.70	0.71	0.77	41.3



(Sheet 16 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks interim upgrade]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Move	ment P	erformance	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Drive	e (S)									
2	T1	1147	1.6	0.378	4.8	LOS A	11.6	82.3	0.33	0.30	0.33	55.6
3	R2	208	1.4	0.552	32.9	LOS C	12.6	89.2	0.95	0.88	0.95	38.5
Approa	ach	1355	1.5	0.552	9.1	LOS A	12.6	89.2	0.42	0.39	0.42	52.1
East: E	Endeavo	our Road (E)	1									
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8
6	R2	204	2.9	0.436	67.5	LOS E	6.6	47.2	0.97	0.78	0.97	28.1
Approa	ach	841	1.5	0.436	20.7	LOS B	6.6	47.2	0.23	0.59	0.23	44.7
North:	Captain	Cook Drive	(N)									
7	L2	91	7.7	0.114	22.9	LOS B	3.0	22.7	0.56	0.69	0.56	43.1
8	T1	1353	1.0	0.549	15.0	LOS B	25.3	178.6	0.61	0.55	0.61	48.1
Approa	ach	1444	1.5	0.549	15.5	LOS B	25.3	178.6	0.60	0.56	0.60	47.8
All Veh	nicles	3640	1.5	0.552	14.3	LOS A	25.3	178.6	0.45	0.50	0.45	48.5



(Sheet 17 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks interim upgrade + Stage 1 & 2 - C]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1 & 2 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Move	ment P	erformance	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	т	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Drive	e (S)									
2	T1	1344	1.3	0.479	7.3	LOS A	16.2	114.8	0.46	0.42	0.46	53.6
3	R2	208	1.4	0.501	41.8	LOS C	10.6	74.9	0.93	0.92	1.13	35.3
Approa	ach	1552	1.4	0.501	11.9	LOS A	16.2	114.8	0.52	0.48	0.55	50.1
East: E	Indeavo	our Road (E)	)									
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8
6	R2	401	1.5	0.643	55.9	LOS D	11.5	81.4	0.98	0.82	0.98	30.8
Approa	ach	1038	1.3	0.643	25.1	LOS B	11.5	81.4	0.38	0.64	0.38	42.3
North:	Captain	Cook Drive	(N)									
7	L2	147	4.8	0.140	12.0	LOS A	2.7	19.8	0.42	0.66	0.42	49.5
8	T1	1409	1.0	0.704	23.4	LOS B	30.9	218.3	0.82	0.75	0.82	43.4
Approa	ach	1556	1.3	0.704	22.3	LOS B	30.9	218.3	0.78	0.74	0.78	43.9
All Veh	nicles	4146	1.3	0.704	19.1	LOS B	30.9	218.3	0.58	0.62	0.59	45.5



(Sheet 18 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1, 2 & 3]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1, 2 & 3 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Mover	nent P	erformance	e - Ve	hicles								
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Captair	n Cook Drive	e (S)									
2	T1	1398	1.3	0.499	7.5	LOS A	17.2	122.0	0.47	0.43	0.47	53.4
3	R2	208	1.4	0.506	42.3	LOS C	10.6	75.1	0.93	0.93	1.14	35.1
Approa	ach	1606	1.3	0.506	12.0	LOS A	17.2	122.0	0.53	0.49	0.55	50.0
East: E	Indeavo	our Road (E)										
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8
6	R2	455	1.3	0.766	59.7	LOS E	14.6	103.3	0.99	0.88	1.11	29.9
Approa	ach	1092	1.2	0.766	28.2	LOS B	14.6	103.3	0.41	0.67	0.46	40.8
North:	Captain	Cook Drive	(N)									
7	L2	167	4.2	0.159	12.2	LOS A	3.1	22.6	0.44	0.67	0.44	49.4
8	T1	1429	1.0	0.714	23.6	LOS B	31.6	223.2	0.83	0.75	0.83	43.3
Approa	ach	1596	1.3	0.714	22.4	LOS B	31.6	223.2	0.79	0.74	0.79	43.8
All Veh	icles	4294	1.3	0.766	20.0	LOS B	31.6	223.2	0.59	0.63	0.62	45.1



(Sheet 19 of 20)

### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 - Copy]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1, 2, 3 & 4 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South: Captain Cook Drive (S)												
2	T1	1517	1.2	0.611	13.4	LOS A	25.7	181.7	0.64	0.59	0.64	49.2
3	R2	208	1.4	0.617	53.6	LOS D	11.1	78.7	0.97	0.97	1.35	31.7
Approa	ich	1725	1.2	0.617	18.2	LOS B	25.7	181.7	0.68	0.63	0.72	46.1
East: Endeavour Road (E)												
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8
6	R2	574	1.0	0.839	57.2	LOS E	22.2	156.9	0.95	0.92	1.16	30.5
Approach		1211	1.1	0.839	30.1	LOS C	22.2	156.9	0.45	0.72	0.55	39.9
North: Captain Cook Drive (N)												
7	L2	196	3.6	0.162	8.8	LOS A	2.3	16.8	0.34	0.65	0.34	51.8
8	T1	1458	1.0	0.821	32.1	LOS C	38.0	268.4	0.94	0.87	0.97	39.3
Approach		1654	1.3	0.821	29.3	LOS C	38.0	268.4	0.87	0.85	0.89	40.5
All Veh	icles	4590	1.2	0.839	25.4	LOS B	38.0	268.4	0.69	0.73	0.74	42.3



(Sheet 20 of 20)

## **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

# Site: 101v [Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road - Future PM, Stage 1 + Sharks + Stage 1,2,3,4 &5]

Captain Cook Drive / Endeavour Road Future Volumes (4/2/20) (Sharks) + Stage 1,2,3,4 &5 Peak 4:30PM - 5:30PM Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 125 seconds (Site Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South: Captain Cook Drive (S)												
2	T1	1652	1.1	0.721	19.2	LOS B	35.2	249.0	0.77	0.71	0.77	45.6
3	R2	208	1.4	0.710	63.6	LOS E	12.1	86.0	1.00	1.01	1.54	29.2
Approa	ach	1860	1.1	0.721	24.2	LOS B	35.2	249.0	0.80	0.74	0.86	42.9
East: Endeavour Road (E)												
4	L2	637	1.1	0.346	5.7	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.53	0.00	54.8
6	R2	709	0.8	0.928	75.2	LOS F	32.6	230.0	0.93	1.02	1.37	26.5
Approach		1346	1.0	0.928	42.3	LOS C	32.6	230.0	0.49	0.79	0.72	35.2
North: Captain Cook Drive (N)												
7	L2	230	3.0	0.175	7.6	LOS A	1.8	13.2	0.28	0.63	0.28	52.7
8	T1	1492	0.9	0.908	50.4	LOS D	50.7	358.1	1.00	1.05	1.17	32.9
Approach		1722	1.2	0.908	44.7	LOS D	50.7	358.1	0.90	0.99	1.05	34.6
All Veh	nicles	4928	1.1	0.928	36.3	LOS C	50.7	358.1	0.75	0.84	0.89	37.5